Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/791,152	VAN WEEGHEL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	GAILENE R. GABEL	1641
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>
(1) GAILENE R. GABEL.	(3)	
(2) <u>Steffen Soller</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 September 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: of record.		
Claims discussed: Claims 12, 17, 21, and 22.		
Prior art documents discussed: of record.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Indicated to Mr. Soller that claims 21 and 22 may be rejoined for allowance. Further indicated to Mr. Soller that claims 12, 17, 21, and 22 need to be amended to clarify that the label for each one of the first antibody and the second antibody of the claimed reagent mixture and kit are different and distinguishably distinct from the other by flow cytometry. Mr. Soller gave the Office authorization to amend claims 12, 17, 21 and 22 in Examiner's Amendment.